

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



ITER

der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

G. Janeschitz

Leiter Programm Fusion Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 1



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



Fusion

die Energiequelle der Sonne muss auf der Erde nutzbar gemacht werden

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



Schematic View of a Fusion Power Reactor



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor



Outline

Fusion Basics

- How to size a reactor class machine
- ITER history and objectives
- ITERs design, technology and R&D

The Challenges ahead and conclusions

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München





For Fusion to happen the Coulomb Barrier has to be overcome



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München





Die wichtigsten Fusionsreaktionen



Optimal ist die Deuterium/Tritium-Reaktion bei etwa 100 Millionen Grad Plasmatemperatur

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION





DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor







Tritium ist radioaktiv. Wegen der Halbwertszeit von nur 12 Jahren ist es in der Natur nicht ausreichend vorhanden. Tritium muss deshalb künstlich aus Lithium hergestellt ("erbrütet") werden.

II Die Rohstoffe der Fusion sind <u>Deuterium</u> und <u>Lithium</u>







Fusion ist eine sichere abfallarme nukleare Energiequelle

- Bei derzeitigem Weltenergieverbrauch hat die Fusion eine Reichweite von etwa 30 Millionen Jahren => praktisch unbegrenzte Energieversorgung
- Deuterium und Lithium (Brennstoff) sind aus den Weltmeeren zu gewinnen (Lithium auch aus Sanden) und daher für Jedermann zugänglich
- Fusionsreaktoren werden mit He Gas gekühlt (Austrittstemperaturen von 550 - 900 °C) und somit auch geeignet für die Herstellung von Wasserstoff
- > Da das Fusionsprodukt He ist, fällt primär kein radioaktiver Abfall an
 - Allerdings wird durch die bei der Fusion entstehenden Neutronen die Struktur des Reaktors radioaktiv
 - Materialien mit kurzen Abklingzeiten ~ 200 Jahre vermeiden Endlager

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München





Magnetic Confinement, The Tokamak Principle



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 12





FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



Plasma Operation in JET



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

Remote Handling in JET



G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 14

FUSION



Verfahren zum Aufheizen eines Tokamakplasmas





Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft





Turbulence driven Energy Loss

Turbulent energy transport sets in at a critical temperature gradient which depends on the local temperature

Radial size of turbulent structures can be reduced by ExB shear and by magnetic shear



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München







ITER History

- 1988-1991 (CDA) Conceptual Design Phase
 - Start of common activities among EU,RF, USA and JA
 - Selection of machine parameters and objectives



FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION

- 1992-1998 (EDA) Engineering Design Phase
 - Developed design capable of ignition large and expensive
 - The Parties (EU, JA, RF, US) endorsed design but could not afford to build it
- 1999 2001 (EDA continues)
 - US withdraws from project
 - Remaining Parties searched for less ambitious goal
 - New design: moderate plasma power amplification at about half the cost.
- 2001 now (CTA and ITA)
 - End of EDA and start of negotiations on construction and operation
 - 4 site offers. In 2003 US re-joins, China & South Korea are accepted as full partners.
 - Cadarache selected as ITER site in June 2005
 - India Joins in Dec 2005







ITERs Technical Objectives

- Q (ratio of fusion power to auxiliary heating power) ≥10 (>5 in steady state). Possibility of controlled ignition.
- Power flat top 300 s up to steady state.
- Flexible operation range, with access to advanced modes.
- Integrate the technologies essential for a fusion reactor (e.g. superconducting magnets, remote maintenance);
- Test components for a future reactor (e.g. divertor and torus vacuum pumps, tritium breeding blanket modules).
- Average neutron flux > 0.5 MW/m², fluence > 0.3 MWa/m²
- Possible later installation of tritium breeding blanket.
- Operate for ~ 20 years, using externally supplied tritium.
- ITER is the first Reactor Plasma its performance will determine the fate of fusion as an energy source
- ITER has to exceed its nominal performance by > 50% in order to warrant the construction of a DEMO reactor
- → See also talk of Prof Wolf today !!



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



Main Features of the ITER Design

Central Solenoid Nb₃Sn, 6 modules

Outer Intercoil Structure

Toroidal Field Coil Nb₃Sn, 18, wedged

Poloidal Field Coil Nb-Ti, 6

Machine Gravity Supports (recently remodelled) 🗩 🚧 🕼 🌌 **Blanket Module** 421 modules Vacuum Vessel 9 sectors **Cryostat** 24 m high x 28 m dia. **Port Plug (IC** Heating) 6 heating 3 test blankets 2 limiters/RH rem. diagnostics Torus Cryopump 8, rearranged **Divertor**

54 cassettes

Direct Construction Cost ~ 4 billion €

Licensing/Construction 9 years

ITER Site Cadarache France

Operation 20years ~ 250 million Euro/year

International Organization 600 staff Visiting researchers

Staffing Cost ~ 1 billion (for first 10 years



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION

Hot Cell



Tokamak Building Complex



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

G. Janeschitz et.al.

slide # 24





The ITER Design and Technology has been underpinned by R&D

CENTRAL SOLENOID MODEL COIL





Radius 3.5 m Height 2.8m B_{max} =13 T W = 640 MJ 0.6 T/sec

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF DIVERTOR CASSETTE





Attachment Tolerance ± 2 mm



DIVERTOR CASSETTE



Heat Flux >15 MW/m², CFC/W



TOROIDAL FIELD MODEL COIL



Height 4 m Width 3 m B_{max}=7.8 T I_{max} = 80kA

Completed R&D Activities by July 2001.

VACUUM VESSEL SECTOR





Double-Wall, Tolerance ±5 mm

BLANKET MODULE





HIP Joining Tech Size : 1.6 m x 0.93 m x 0.35 m

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF BLANKET



4 t Blanket Sector Attachment Tolerance ± 0.25 mm









ITER Model Coil, High Temperatur SC Current Leads







The ITER Design and Technology has been underpinned by R&D

CENTRAL SOLENOID MODEL COIL





Radius 3.5 m Height 2.8m B_{max} =13 T W = 640 MJ 0.6 T/sec

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF DIVERTOR CASSETTE





Attachment Tolerance ± 2 mm



DIVERTOR CASSETTE



Heat Flux >15 MW/m², CFC/W



TOROIDAL FIELD MODEL COIL



Height 4 m Width 3 m B_{max} =7.8 T I_{max} = 80kA

Completed R&D Activities by July 2001.

VACUUM VESSEL SECTOR







Double-Wall, Tolerance ±5 mm

BLANKET MODULE





HIP Joining Tech Size : 1.6 m x 0.93 m x 0.35 m

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF BLANKET



4 t Blanket Sector Attachment Tolerance ± 0.25 mm



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



Structure of the CS Model Coil







CS Model Coil R&D

Max. field 13.5T, max. current 46kA, stored energy 640MJ (max. in Nb₃Sn)

Ramp-up 1.2T/s (goal 0.4) and rampdown rates of -1.5T/s (goal -1.2) in insert coils, and 10,000 cycle test.



nitz et.al. slide # 31

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München



CISO VACUUM CHAMBER FOR ITER CS MODEL COIL TEST FACILITY

Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



CS Model Coil R&D

Closing of the Test Cryostat (JA)

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

CB50 VACUUM CHAMBER FOR ITER CS MODEL COIL TEST FACILITY

G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 32





The ITER Design and Technology has been underpinned by R&D

CENTRAL SOLENOID MODEL COIL





Radius 3.5 m Height 2.8m B_{max} =13 T W = 640 MJ 0.6 T/sec

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF DIVERTOR CASSETTE





Attachment Tolerance ± 2 mm



DIVERTOR CASSETTE



Heat Flux >15 MW/m², CFC/W



TOROIDAL FIELD MODEL COIL



Height 4 m Width 3 m B_{max} =7.8 T I_{max} = 80kA

Completed R&D Activities by July 2001.

VACUUM VESSEL SECTOR







Double-Wall, Tolerance ±5 mm

BLANKET MODULE





HIP Joining Tech Size : 1.6 m x 0.93 m x 0.35 m

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF BLANKET



4 t Blanket Sector Attachment Tolerance ± 0.25 mm







DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

Vacuum Vessel & In-Vessel Components

- Double-walled vacuum vessel lined by modular removable components,
- blanket modules, divertor cassettes, and diagnostics sensors, as well as port plugs for limiters, heating antennae, diagnostics and test blanket modules.
- ➤The total vessel/in-vessel mass is ~10,000 t.
- Components absorb most of the radiated heat from the plasma and protect the magnet coils from excessive nuclear radiation.
- The VV is able to remove the decay heat from all in Vessel components in case of coolant failure
- ➤A tight fitting configuration of the VV to the plasma aids passive plasma vertical stability
- Ferromagnetic material "inserts" in the VV reduce toroidal field ripple and its associated particle losses.



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION





Dimensional accuracy after welding sector halves ± 3 mm

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 35





The ITER Design and Technology has been underpinned by R&D

CENTRAL SOLENOID MODEL COIL





Radius 3.5 m Height 2.8m B_{max} =13 T W = 640 MJ 0.6 T/sec

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF DIVERTOR CASSETTE





Attachment Tolerance ± 2 mm



DIVERTOR CASSETTE



Heat Flux >15 MW/m², CFC/W



TOROIDAL FIELD MODEL COIL



Height 4 m Width 3 m B_{max} =7.8 T I_{max} = 80kA

Completed R&D Activities by July 2001.

VACUUM VESSEL SECTOR







Double-Wall, Tolerance ±5 mm

BLANKET MODULE





HIP Joining Tech Size : 1.6 m x 0.93 m x 0.35 m

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF BLANKET



4 t Blanket Sector Attachment Tolerance ± 0.25 mm





Blanket Attachment and Manifold

421 blanket modules with detachable faceted first wall (FW) with Be armour on a water-cooled copper substrate, attached to a SS shielding block - minimises radioactive waste and allows testing of alternative wall materials.



Initial blanket acts solely as a neutron shield,

tritium breeding experiments are carried out on test blanket modules inserted and withdrawn at radial equatorial ports.

About 4t per module.

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 37



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft





Blanket Module R&D



Drilling of forged steel block (Shield block cooling channels)



Bending of ice-plugged steel block (10,000 ton press machine)



Steel block after solution heat treatment (1010-1054 °C)



Assembly of steel tubes and DS Cu plates

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München



Final assembly of FW and shield block

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor



Canning for HIPing

G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 38





The ITER Design and Technology has been underpinned by R&D

CENTRAL SOLENOID MODEL COIL





Radius 3.5 m Height 2.8m B_{max} =13 T W = 640 MJ 0.6 T/sec

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF DIVERTOR CASSETTE





Attachment Tolerance ± 2 mm



DIVERTOR CASSETTE



Heat Flux >15 MW/m², CFC/W



TOROIDAL FIELD MODEL COIL



Height 4 m Width 3 m B_{max} =7.8 T I_{max} = 80kA

Completed R&D Activities by July 2001.

VACUUM VESSEL SECTOR







Double-Wall, Tolerance ±5 mm

BLANKET MODULE





HIP Joining Tech Size : 1.6 m x 0.93 m x 0.35 m

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF BLANKET



4 t Blanket Sector Attachment Tolerance ± 0.25 mm



≻54 cassettes.



Divertor - Design

Large openings between the inner and outer divertor balance heat loads in the inboard and outboard channels.







Divertor Prototypical Vertical Target and cassette Mock-ups

- ➤ Under high heat flux testing in the Le Creusot e-beam facility, it sustained:
- > 1000 cycles at 18 MW.m⁻² on the W macro-brush armour
- > 2000 cycles at 20 MW.m⁻² on the CfC armour.
- ➢ Finally, the CfC armour was shown to survive > 30 MW.m⁻² in a CHF test.







The ITER Design and Technology has been underpinned by R&D

CENTRAL SOLENOID MODEL COIL





Radius 3.5 m Height 2.8m B_{max} =13 T W = 640 MJ 0.6 T/sec

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF DIVERTOR CASSETTE





Attachment Tolerance ± 2 mm



DIVERTOR CASSETTE



Heat Flux >15 MW/m², CFC/W



TOROIDAL FIELD MODEL COIL



Height 4 m Width 3 m B_{max} =7.8 T I_{max} = 80kA

Completed R&D Activities by July 2001.

VACUUM VESSEL SECTOR







Double-Wall, Tolerance ±5 mm

BLANKET MODULE





HIP Joining Tech Size : 1.6 m x 0.93 m x 0.35 m

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF BLANKET



4 t Blanket Sector Attachment Tolerance ± 0.25 mm



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



Remote Maintenance of the Divertor - cassette toroidal and radial mover



Divertor Remote Handling Test Platform

Central Cassette Carrier

Divertor Port

C.L. C.L.

1

Plug Handling Vehicle

Dummy Cassette

SALLARD .

Toroidal Mover





The ITER Design and Technology has been underpinned by R&D

CENTRAL SOLENOID MODEL COIL





Radius 3.5 m Height 2.8m B_{max} =13 T W = 640 MJ 0.6 T/sec

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF DIVERTOR CASSETTE





Attachment Tolerance ± 2 mm



DIVERTOR CASSETTE



Heat Flux >15 MW/m², CFC/W



TOROIDAL FIELD MODEL COIL



Height 4 m Width 3 m B_{max} =7.8 T I_{max} = 80kA

Completed R&D Activities by July 2001.

VACUUM VESSEL SECTOR







Double-Wall, Tolerance ±5 mm

BLANKET MODULE





HIP Joining Tech Size : 1.6 m x 0.93 m x 0.35 m

REMOTE MAINTENANCE OF BLANKET



4 t Blanket Sector Attachment Tolerance ± 0.25 mm



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



In Vessel Transporter for Blanket Maintenance



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 46



Positioning 0.5 mm and 0.1°, rail deployed 90° around torus in ~ 30 min.





Engineering/Technology Challenges ahead

The above R&D performed during the EDA has shown the feasibility to built ITER, but now reliable functioning components have to be built !!

- ITER has millions of parts with very complex interfaces and ensuing knock-on effects
- > Unprecedented size of the super-conducting magnet and structures.
- All in vessel components (Divertor, Blanket) are subject to large heat fluxes and electromagnetic forces
- All maintenance operations inside the ITER machine and the refurbishment of components in the Hot Cell has to be performed remotely

A difficult engineering and contract follow-up job lies in front of the future ITER International Team





Organisation Challenges (1)

- The Parties contribute components "In-Kind"
 - Involve all the Parties in key fusion technology areas.
 - Share the cost of the device by 'value' and not by currency.
 - Automatically ensure fair return
- Sharing: 5/11 EU (1/11 procured in Japan), 1/11 Others. (of which 10% centrally funded and 90% in-kind)





FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



Procurement Sharing



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

FZK - EURATOM ASSOCIATION



Organisation Challenges (2)

 Forging one coherent project team across multiple cultures (and time zones) with industrial support.







Negotiation Status

- ITER Parties are now in the process of finalising the Joint Implementation Agreement and its main instruments.
 - Main Agreement Text
 - Staffing regulations
 - Procurement and cost sharing
 - Intellectual Property Rights
 - > Principles of Operation Programme
 - Resource Management
 - > Principles on management

> The negotiations are successfully finished !

To be performed in the near future:

→ Spring 2006: Ministerial Meeting to "initial" Agreement

- → Jun-Jul 2006: Agreement Formal Signature
- \rightarrow End 2006: Agreement enters into force





DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 54





Conclusions

- ITER is one of the most technologically, organisationally and politically challenging projects being undertaken today.
- Nevertheless, a convincing design has been and continues to be developed able to meet its objectives, and the international infrastructure and the organisation necessary to build it on the expected timescale is (imminently) ready to be set up.
- ITER will be the proving ground for the key technologies necessary to make magnetic fusion into a viable energy source.
- The design phase has demonstrated the desirability of jointly implementing ITER in a broad-based international collaborative frame with the strong involvement of Industry.
- Procurement is split among the ITER Parties, but Europe provides 36% of the hardware. This allows considerable opportunities for European manufacturers and service providers.
- In its host role, Europe is well-placed to gain essential know-how from its involvement in most systems, via installation and plant licensing.

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München



DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München

ITER - der entscheidende Schritt zum Fusionsreaktor

G. Janeschitz et.al. slide # 56





What can ITER show about fusion safety?

- Fusion offers a safe, long term source of energy with abundant resources and major environmental advantages. Magnetic fusion has favourable safety characteristics:
 - The nuclear fusion reaction is self-limiting, bounded by the plasma pressure of the burning plasma, thus runaway does not exist.
 - Fusion power density and radioactive decay heat densities are moderate, and fastacting emergency cooling systems are not required.
 - The ultimate performance of fusion reactor confinement barriers needs to provide about a factor 10 reduction for tritium. By comparison, fission reactors need to provide a factor 10⁶-10⁷ reduction for iodine and rare gases.
 - Structural materials are activated by fusion neutrons. With the successful development of appropriate materials, the wastes would not require isolation beyond a few hundred years.
- ITER has the main characteristics of a fusion power plant (size, power, radioactive inventories).
- Safe operation of ITER will demonstrate fusion's safety and environmental potential.

DPG-Tagung 21. März 2006 in München