



How regional climate interacts with wind power generation *Robert Vautard, LSCE/IPSL*

FM Bréon, A Colette, JG Devezeaux de Lavergne, P Ruti, F Thais, I Tobin, P Yiou

& the EURO-CORDEX modelling consortium

L Miller, N. A. Brunsell, D. B. Mechem, F Gans, A. J. Monaghan, D. Keith and A. Kleidon



Electricity production to be decarbonized by 2050





IPCC WG3 2014



Questions



How does climate change impact wind power resources?

 Does wind power development have an impact on regional climate?

Does wind power development have an impact on wind resource?



Why?

• To provide higher-resolution climate projections for impact studies

EURO-CORDEX

- To better describe extreme events
- To evaluate the effects of regional policies (for some issues) Uncertainty: use ensembles of simulations

WP6 Task 2 : Impact of +2°C global warming on energy supply





- Characteristics of current wind turbine fleets (location, installed power, hub height...) (www.thewindpower.net)
- Spatialized scenarios for future wind turbine fleet (the CLIMIX tool : *Jerez et al 2014*)









2012: 100 Gwatts, 80000 windmills

2020: x2 (C&E package)

2035: x3 (IEA outlook)

2050: x3-5 (diverse scenarios)

Source http://www.thewindpower.net



Scénarios for 2020 and 2050



2020: Climate & Energy Package

Wind energy	On shore		Off-shore	
MW	Operational end 2012	Planned 2020	Operational end 2012	Planned 2020
Austria	1314	2578	0	0
Belgium	842	2320	195	2000
Bulgaria	668	1115	0	0
Cyprus	0	300	0	0
Czech Republic	261	743	0	0
Denmark	3373	2621	1047	1339
Estonia	412	400	0	250
Finland	196	1600	32	900
France	7894	19000	6	6000
Germany	32118	35750	124	10000
Greece	1611	7200	38	300
Hungary	542	750	0	0
Ireland	1823	4094	25	555
Italy	8006	12000	0	680
Latvia	32	236	0	180
Lithuania	198	500	0	0
Luxembourg	44	131	0	0
Malta	0	14,45	0	0
Netherlands	2535	6000	247	5178
Poland	1867	5600	0	500
Portugal	4488	6800	4	75
Romania	1742	4000	0	0
SlovakRepublic	0	350	0	0
Slovenia	0	106	0	0
Spain	22131	35000	10	3000
Sweden	2702	4365	171	182
United Kingdom	5359	14890	2362	12990
EU 27	100158	168463	4261	44129

2050: European Climate Foundation 80% renewables



230 GWatts

440 GWatts



Spatial distributions



Use of the CLIMIX approach for 2050 (Jerez et al., 2014, RSER), EMS2014-378 Talk by S Jerez

• Optimize resource, avoid unsuitable lands, offshore near coast, no optimization yet







Changes in 10m wind speed

<u>Changes in wind power</u> <u>potential production</u>



- Changes in wind power potential within ± 5 %
- Robust increases over Baltic Sea, Aegan Sea, Bosporus, Gilbraltar Strait, Western
 Turkish coast
- Robust decreases over Atlantic Sea, Iberian Peninsula, Mediterranean Sea

WP6 Task 2 : Impact of +2°C global warming on energy supply





<u>Results</u> based on mandatory EUROCORDEX simulations RCP4.5 *merged with* RCP8.5 (9 simulations)



 Changes in wind power production are within +-15 % for all national fleets for all models



Energy production per technology (+2°C and +3°C)





IMPACT2C results







- No clear answer as yet
 - Experiments with different models with different parameterizations

 First study : Keith et al. (2004), using roughness changes and idealized wind farms distribution in a AGCM, shows regional differences



Temperature effects in wind warm areas

LSCE

ANN Nighttime LST (2009-2011 minus 2003-2005) AT ~2230



Zhou et al., 2013



Experiments with the WRF-Turbine model



Fitch et al., 2012 Adams and Keith, 2013

Online power generation & atmosphere interactions



Power



Experiments



- 4 experiments:
- No wind farms, 2012, 2020 fleets
- WRF simulations forced by ERA-Interim 1980-2012 (33 years)
- 50 km resolution over the EURO-CORDEX domain
- Validation (2011-2012)
- Comparisons for scenarios



Comparaisons with electricity network observations









Impacts on temperature





Vautard et al., 2014, NCOMMS



Impact on the synoptic flow (sea level pressure, winter)













Institut







development on resource: Study on Kansas (Miller et al., 2015)

- WRF simulations June-Sep 2001 forced with reanalyses NARR, resolution 12 km
- Very large farm~330 km x 330 km
- Density of installed power: 0.3 W/m2 to 100 W/m2
- Comparison with a simplified method
- Turbine VESTAS V112 3 MW



Miller et al., 2015 PNAS

Saturation around 1 W/m2



Comparaison avec autres études, méthodes



Institut

Simon Laplace





Conclusions



- Effects in general small but of large scale in both cases
- Impact of CC to reduce WP
- Limitations for the impact study: Rossby wave excited, may require global simulations
- Limitations of extractible wind power to 1 W/m2 for large-scale wind farms

Local effects

(probably underestimated)





2020 2020+Nudging











Echelle globale (Wang and Prinn, 2011)



Locations of Land and Offshore "Windmills" Installation



Couverture des shrubland/grassland, zone côtière, approche couplée O/A Changements pour satisfaire 10% de la demande mondiale en 2100



