

# The perspective of plasma conversion within the Power-to-X initiative

Ursel Fantz, Ante Hecimovic, David Rauner



## Sector coupling with Power-To-X conversion technologies

Usage of surplus electric power for electricity conversion, energy storage, and reconversion pathways



#### **Energy conversion routes**

- Power-to-Gas PtG
- Power-to-Heat PtH
- Power-to-Liquid PtL

#### Intendent use

- Power-to-Ammonia
- Power-to-Chemicals
- Power-to-Fuel
- Power-to-Syngas
- Power-to-Power

## **PtX: conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> in a carbon neutral cycle**



# Plasmas, complementary to established transformation routes

- Thermochemical
- Electro-catalysis
- Photo-electrochemical

#### **Plasma properties**

- Fast gas heating
- Fast response time (seconds)
- High throughput (~100 slm)
- Work with a manifold of gases
  - $\textbf{CO}_{\textbf{2}}, \, \textbf{CH}_{\textbf{4}}, \, \textbf{C}_{\textbf{x}} \textbf{H}_{\textbf{y}}, \, \textbf{N}_{\textbf{2}}, \, \textbf{H}_{\textbf{2}}, \, \textbf{O}_{\textbf{2}}, \, \textbf{H}_{\textbf{2}} \textbf{O}, \, ...$
- Scalable

## PtM: synthetic fuels and chemicals HGF, ENERGY Research Field, 2021-2027





## **Typical plasma reactors for gas conversion**



Bogaerts and Centi 2020 *Front. Energy Res.* **8** 111 Snoecks and Bogaerts 2017 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **46** 5805

## The typical temperature and pressure map of plasmas



16.03.2022 | U. Fantz | DPG – AKE

## **Microwave plasmas for gas conversion: Example CO**<sub>2</sub>



0.15





#### $CO_2$ conversion: $CO_2 \rightarrow CO + O$



Calculated with: NASA CEA code, Sanford and McBride 1994 J. NASA reference publication 1311

## **Figures of Merit: CO<sub>2</sub> conversion using plasmas**

#### **Energy efficiency and conversion**

Conversion

 $\chi = 1 - \frac{\dot{n}_{CO_2,out}}{\dot{n}_{CO_2,in}}$ 

#### **Energy efficiency**

$$\eta = \chi \frac{\Delta H}{SEI}, \Delta H = 2.9 \ eV,$$
  
with SEI ~ power/flow  
Specific Energy Input  
$$CO_2 \rightarrow CO + O \qquad \Delta H = 5.5 \ eV$$
  
$$CO_2 + O \rightarrow CO + O_2 \qquad \Delta H = 0.3 \ eV$$
  
$$CO_2 \rightarrow CO + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \qquad \Delta H = 2.9 \ eV$$





## **Energy efficiency and conversion for CO<sub>2</sub>**











## **Energy efficiency and conversion for CO<sub>2</sub>**



#### The pressure effect demonstrated at the plasma torch



## **Technology Readiness Level (TRL) compared to electrolysis**

compiled from: Küngas et al 2020 Journal of The Electrochemical Society 167 044508



#### Plasma pathways for hydrogen production and utilization



#### Methane-based hydrogen production

 $CH_4 \rightarrow C + 2H_2$  (pyrolysis)  $CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO + 2H_2$  (dry reforming)  $CH_4 + H_2O \rightarrow CO + 3H_2$  (steam reforming)  $CH_4 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CO + 2H_2$  (partial oxidation)

#### Challenges to overcome

- Soot formation
- (DBD) Efficiency
- Selectivity and scalability

# Hydrogen storage<br/> $N_2 + 3H_2 \rightarrow 2NH_3$ Ammonia productionRequires<br/>plasma catalysisPlasma zone + CatalystAssessment of plasma (assisted) processes<br/>with multiple gasesPlasma zoneCatalyst

- $\rightarrow$  combined dry + steam reforming
- $\rightarrow$  methanol production



combine images

## Plasma pathways for hydrogen production and utilisation



## Plasma pathways for hydrogen production and utilisation



## Plasma catalysis – plasma assisted catalysis





## Plasma catalysis – plasma assisted catalysis

#### Synergy of plasma-catalysis for



#### Ammonia formation

Dry reforming of methane

Kim et al 2016 Plasma Chem. Plasma Process. 36 45

Snoecks and Bogaerts 2017 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **46** 5805, adopted from: Zhang et al 2010 *Chemical Engineering Journal* **156** 601



#### Comparison of plasma conversion technology with other emerging technologies

	Traditional catalyst	Catalyst by MW heating	Electro- chemical	Solar thermo- chemical	Photo- chemical	Bio- chemical	Plasma- chemical
Use of rare earth materials	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Renewable energy	-	Indirect	Indirect	Direct	Direct	Direct	Indirect
Turnkey process	No	No	No	N/A	Yes	No	Yes
Conversion and yield	High	High	High	High	Low	Medium	High
Separation step needed	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Oxygenated products	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Investment cost	Low	Low	Low	High	Low	High/low	Low
Operating cost	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High	Low
Overall flexibility	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Low	High

Additional sense of Impact of the feature negative undesirable/neutral positive

## Activities in Germany within HELMHOLTZ (2021 – 2027)



**Research Field Energy**  $\rightarrow$  Materials and Technologies for the Energy Transition  $\rightarrow$  Chemical Energy Carriers

 $\rightarrow$  Power-based Fuels and Chemicals  $\rightarrow$  Syngas, Hydrogen Technology, Nitrogen Fixation



#### Roadmap, including gas separation and catalysis

	A19828-1412-14		LUEU	2020	2000
	M2.1	Benchmarking with	CO2 electrolysis		
Plasma + membrane f	for Integrate	ed plasma-based	Evaluation for	Seele up to MW	-
	Plasma + membrane 1 CO gas production	Plasma + membrane for CO gas production for Scale-up to MW			

## **Summary – Conclusion**





#### Plasmas are an attractive route for

conversion of molecules into value-added chemicals

#### Plasma technology

- Ideal for renewable energies due to fast response time (sec)
- Manifold of gases:  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $H_2$ ,  $H_2O$ ,  $C_xH_y$ , ...
- High throughput (~100 slm)
- Scalable

#### Challenges

- TRL of 3-4
- Selectivity for desired process
- to be tailored to plasma environment
- Gas separation, membrane development
- Catalysis interaction with material
- Integration in process chain

